UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,892	06/28/2006	Peter Bleckert	P18823-US1	1926
27045 ERICSSON IN	7590 08/17/200 C.	EXAMINER		
6300 LEGACY		HOQUE, NAFIZ E		
M/S EVR 1-C-1 PLANO, TX 75		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/596,892	BLECKERT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	NAFIZ E. HOQUE	2014	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor	•	ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 Soo attached Notice of Non Co	mpliant Amondment (	DTOL 324)
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		mpilant Amendment (i	F 10L-324).
<ul><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li></ul>		timaly filed amondmor	ot cancoling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii submilited iii a separate,	unlery med amendmen	it cancelling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		i condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	r I O/SD/U0) Paper NO(S).		
/Ahmad F Matar/	Examiner : Nafiz Hoque	)	
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments filed on 08/03/09 have been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Jennings and Sylvain would not have taught the claimed invention because "such element necessarily requires two communication systems (a CS network and a PS network) so as to automatically discover whether a packet data channel can be established between a caller and a called party by the two communication systems".

Examiner respectfully disagrees because Jennings does teach two communications networks (col. 5, lines 16-19 "any combination of these"). Furthermore, Jennings in fig. 3 discloses a system being connected to PSTN, Internet, WAN, LAN and etc.

In addition, Sylvain also discloses two communication systems (a cs network - fig. 1, el. 22 and ps network - fig. 1, el. 20) to automatically discover whether a packet data channel can be established between a caller and a called party (see fig. 2, el. 100, 102 and para 0005 - determine if the caller has multimedia capabilities in addition to the ability to facilitate a voice call) by the two communication system for transfer of real time media, such as video, or non-real time media, such as images, in parallel with the voice call (para 0003 - discloses a need for multimedia sessions such as video related to a voice connection, i.e., in parallel; para 0031, 0040, figs. 3A-C - discloses telephone call from caller A to called party B, establishing a voice session, and then establishing an associated video session via packet network).

Therefore, at the very least, the combination of Jennings and Sylvain discloses the claimed limitations of the instant application. In conclusion, Examiner maintains the finality of the last office action.